arXiv:1403.7361v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 28 Mar 2014

Local curvature and stability of two-dimensional systems

Jie Guan,[1] Zhongqi Jin,[2] Zhen Zhu,[1] and David Tománek[1,*]

[1] *Physics and Astronomy Department, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA*
[2] *Chemistry Department, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA*

(Dated: March 31, 2014)

We propose a fast method to determine the local curvature in two-dimensional (2D) systems with arbitrary shape. The curvature information, combined with elastic constants obtained for a planar system, provides an accurate estimate of the local stability in the framework of continuum elasticity theory. Relative stabilities of graphitic structures including fullerenes, nanotubes and schwarzites, calculated using this approach, agree closely with *ab initio* density functional calculations. The continuum elasticity approach can be applied to all 2D structures and is particularly attractive in complex systems, where the quality of parameterized force fields has not been established.

PACS numbers: 61.48.De, 68.55.ap, 61.46.-w, 81.05.ub

Layered structures including graphite, hexagonal boron nitride, transition metal dichalcogenides such as MoS_2, and oxides including V_2O_5 are very common in Nature. The possibility to form stable two-dimensional (2D) structures by mechanical exfoliation of these structures appears very attractive for a variety of applications[1, 2]. The most prominent example of such 2D systems, graphitic carbon, is the structural basis not only of graphene[1], but also fullerenes, nanotubes, tori and schwarzites[3–7]. Even though the structural motif in all of these systems may be the same, their mechanical and electronic properties depend sensitively on the local morphology[8, 9]. Not only does the natural abundance of structural allotropes and isomers reflect their net energetic stability, but also the relative chemical reactivity of specific sites in a given structure correlates well with the local curvature and local stability[8, 9]. This relationship has been well established for the reactive sites in the C_{50} fullerene[8] and the structural collapse leading to chemical unzipping of carbon nanotubes[10–12].

For very large structures, estimating the global or local stability using *ab initio* calculations has proven impracticable. There, the stability has often been estimated using empirical rules or parameterized force fields including the Tersoff potential and molecular mechanics[13–16], with sometimes unsatisfactory results. Application of continuum elasticity theory, which can describe stability changes due to deviation from planarity, has been successful, but limited to systems with a well-defined, constant curvature[17, 18]. Since strain energy is local and independent of the global morphology, it is intriguing to explore, whether the positions of first and second atomic neighbors may provide sufficient information about the local morphology to accurately determine the local deformation energy. If so, then the local stability in even arbitrarily shaped structures could be estimated accurately by knowing only the atomic positions.

Here we propose a fast method to determine the local curvature in 2D systems with a complex morphology using only first and second neighbor positions. The curvature information, combined with elastic constants obtained for a planar system, provides accurate stability estimates in the framework of continuum elasticity theory. We find that relative stabilities of graphitic structures including fullerenes, nanotubes and schwarzites, calculated using this approach, agree closely with *ab initio* density functional calculations. The continuum elasticity approach can be applied to all 2D structures and is particularly attractive in complex systems, where the quality of parameterized force fields has not been established.



FIG. 1. Principal radii of curvature R_1, R_2 and the Gaussian curvature G on the surface of (a) a sphere, (b) a cylinder and (c) in a saddle point. (d) Using the first and second neighbor positions to estimate the local curvature at point P.

The local curvature at a particular location on a surface is given by the two principal radii of curvature R_1 and R_2, as shown in Fig. 1. On a spherical surface, $R_1 = R_2$. On a cylindrical surface, R_1 is the cylinder radius and $R_2 \to \infty$. Finally, a saddle point on a surface is characterized by opposite signs of R_1 and R_2. Knowing

the principal radii of curvature everywhere, we may use continuum elasticity theory to determine the curvature energy ΔE_c with respect to a planar layer using[19]

$$\Delta E_c = \frac{1}{2} D \int_{surface} dA \left(\frac{1}{R_1^2} + \frac{1}{R_2^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{R_1 R_2} \right) . \quad (1)$$

Here, the integral extends across the entire closed surface, D is the flexural rigidity and α is the Poisson ratio. Simple expressions for ΔE_c can be obtained for particular simple morphologies such as a sphere or a cylinder, where R_1 and R_2 are constant everywhere[17]. This is, however, not the case in general.

We find it convenient to introduce the local mean curvature

$$k = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} \right) \quad (2)$$

and the local Gaussian curvature

$$G = \frac{1}{R_1 R_2} . \quad (3)$$

Using these quantitites, we can rewrite Eq. (1) as

$$\Delta E_c = D \int_{surface} dA \left[2k^2 - (1-\alpha) G \right] . \quad (4)$$

In the following, we will consider the equilibrium arrangement of atoms in a planar 2D structure as the reference structure and will determine the local curvature from changes in the local morphology. The discrete counterpart of Eq. (4) for the curvature energy ΔE_c is a sum over atomic sites i

$$\Delta E_c \approx D A \sum_i \left[2k_i^2 - (1-\alpha) G_i \right] , \quad (5)$$

where A is area per atom.

Unlike for a continuous plane, the deformation energy of a planar structure consists not only of the curvature energy ΔE_c, but may also contain an energy penalty ΔE_s stemming from bond stretching and bond bending. ΔE_s will be rather small in equilibrated structures, where all bond lengths and angles are at their optimum values. Nonzero contribution of ΔE_s is expected in frustrated structures, where not all bond lengths and angles can be optimized simultaneously. Neglecting the energy penalty due to distorted bond angles, we can estimate the total energy penalty due to bond stretching using

$$\Delta E_s = \sum_{\text{bonds } i} \frac{1}{2} \beta (d_i - d_0)^2 , \quad (6)$$

where the summation extends over all bonds i and d_0 is the equilibrium bond length value. The force constant β can be obtained easily by uniformly expanding or compressing the planar reference structure. We may now determine the strain energy based on continuum elasticity theory using

$$\Delta E_{CE} = \Delta E_c + \Delta E_s . \quad (7)$$

To estimate the curvature energy ΔE_c using Eq. (5), we require knowledge of the curvature at each atomic site.

Our approach to estimate the values of k and G at a given atomic site P is illustrated in Fig. 1(d). According to Eq. (2), the local mean curvature k should be close to the average inverse radius of curvature at that point,

$$k \approx \left\langle \frac{1}{R} \right\rangle . \quad (8)$$

Since the atomic site P and its three nearest neighbors F_1, F_2 and F_3 define the surface of a sphere of radius R, we take $k = 1/R$.

The relative positions of four atoms do not allow to distinguish, whether P is on a plane, a sphere, a cylinder, or in a saddle point. To obtain this additional information, we must involve also the second neighbors of P, labeled S_i in Fig. 1(d). According to the Bertrand-Diquet-Puiseux theorem,[20] the local Gaussian curvature at lattice site P of a complex surface is given by

$$G = \lim_{r \to 0} 3 \frac{2\pi r - C(r)}{\pi r^3} . \quad (9)$$

Here, $C(r)$ is the length of the geodesic circle of radius r centered at P, shown by the light dotted line in Fig. 1(d). We will assume that the geodesic distance r between P and its N_s second neighbors S_i is nearly constant. Several considerations have to be taken into account before using Eq. (9) to estimate G using atomic coordinates. First, we will consider the interatomic distances to be sufficiently small to ignore the $r \to 0$ limit in Eq. (9). Next, we need to take care of the fact that the geodesic radius r, which represents a tight string of length r hugging the surface, is longer than the Euclidean distance d_i between P and S_i. Assuming that the second neighbors S_i lie close to the sphere of radius R defined by P and its first neighbors F_i, we can estimate the arc length r using

$$r \approx \frac{2R}{N_s} \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \arcsin \left(\frac{d_i}{2R} \right) . \quad (10)$$

Finally, $C(r)$ differs from the Euclidean circumference C_p of the (often non-planar) polygon defined by the second neighbors S_i. Inspired by the geometry of the perfect honeycomb lattice, where the second neighbors form a hexagon, we approximate the length of the geodesic circle of radius r by

$$C(r) \approx \frac{\pi}{3} C_p . \quad (11)$$

Combining Eqs. (9)-(11) allows us to estimate the Gaussian curvature everywhere on the surface.

Whereas it is hard to verify the accuracy of our local curvature estimates, the Gauss-Bonnet theorem[20] makes a specific statement about the integral of the



FIG. 2. Validation of the present approach to determine the local Gaussian curvature using Eq. (9). The calculated sum of the defect, calculated using Eq. (14), is presented for (a) C_n fullerenes and (b) nanotubes of different radius R. In the infinite nanotube structures, we present the average contribution per atom towards the sum of the defect. The dashed lines represent exact values based on the Gauss-Bonnet theorem.

Gaussian curvature over the entire closed surface, called the sum of the defect, amounting to

$$\int_{surface} GdA = 2\pi\chi \; . \tag{12}$$

Here, χ is the Euler characteristic of the surface, given by

$$\chi = 2 - 2g \; , \tag{13}$$

where g is the genus, meaning 'number of holes'. In atomic structures, we will estimate the sum of the defect by approximating the integral in Eq. (12) by a sum over atoms i,

$$\int_{surface} GdA \;\approx\; A\sum_i G_i \; . \tag{14}$$

Of interest to us is the fact that $\chi = 2$ for spherical objects like fullerenes and $\chi = 0$ for cylindrical objects such as nanotubes. To judge the accuracy of our approach to determine the Gaussian curvature locally, we have determined the sum of the defect for a large number of fullerenes C_n and carbon nanotubes and present our results in Fig. 2. These structures are closely related to the honeycomb lattice of graphene with $A = 2.62$ Å^2. Our results for the sum of the defect in finite fullerene structures[21, 22], shown in Fig. 2(a), agree very well with the exact value of 4π. Since nanotubes are infinitely long, we plot in Fig. 2(b) the contribution of each atom towards the sum of the defect and find our results in excellent agreement with the exact value of zero.

In the following, we will test the accuracy of the continuum elasticity approach by calculating the relative stability of non-planar structures based on graphitic carbon. An infinite number of morphologies including nanotubes, fullerenes and schwarzites may be produced by deforming a segment of a graphene layer and reconnecting its edges so that all carbon atoms are threefold coordinated. In many cases, the non-planar structures may contain carbon pentagons and heptagons in the graphitic honeycomb arrangement of atoms as required by Euler's theorem[20].

To validate the continuum elasticity theory results, we calculated the total energy of a graphene monolayer and selected graphitic structures using *ab initio* density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the SIESTA code[23]. We used the Ceperley-Alder[24] exchange-correlation functional as parameterized by Perdew and Zunger[25], norm-conserving Troullier-Martins pseudopotentials[26], and a double-ζ basis including polarization orbitals. The 1D Brillouin zone of nanotubes was sampled by 16 k-points and the 2D Brillouin zone of graphene by 16×16 k-points[27]. The small Brillouin zones associated with the large unit cells containing isolated fullerenes or space-filling schwarzite structures with several hundred C atoms were sampled by only 1 k-point. We used a mesh cutoff energy of 180 Ry to determine the self-consistent charge density, which provided us with a precision in total energy of $\lesssim$2 meV/atom. All geometries have been optimized using the conjugate gradient method[28], until none of the residual Hellmann-Feynman forces exceeded 10^{-2} eV/Å.

Our DFT results for the relative energy ΔE_{DFT} of optimized C_n fullerenes[21, 22] with respect to graphene are shown in Fig. 3(a). The various data points for one size correspond to different structural isomers, which are increasing fast in number with increasing n. If all fullerenes were perfect spheres, Eq. (4) would simplify to[17] $\Delta E_c = 4\pi D(1+\alpha)$. Using the proper elastic constants for graphene[17] $D = 1.41$ eV and $\alpha = 0.165$, we would estimate $\Delta E_c = 20.6$ eV for all fullerenes independent of size. The numerical values for the different optimized fullerene isomers in Fig. 3(a) are all larger, indicating that variations in the local curvature and optimum bond lengths cause a significant energy penalty.

As we show in the following, considering local curvature variations across the surface turns continuum elasticity theory into a powerful tool capable of quantitative strain energy predictions that compete in precision with *ab initio* calculations. To illustrate this point, we present in Fig. 3(b) the total strain energy ΔE in seventeen isomers of C_{38} obtained using various approaches. The strain energy ΔE_{DFT} based on DFT, which is expected to represent closely any experimental results, is not only significantly lower than the predicted values ΔE_T based on the Tersoff potential[13], but also differs from this popular bond-order potential in the prediction of relative stabilities. Our calculated curvature strain energy ΔE_c values, on the other hand, offer a very good representation of the relative stabilities when compared to ΔE_{DFT}. Equation (6) allows us to estimate the in-layer strain ΔE_s caused by non-optimum bond lengths using $\beta = 61.2$ eV based on our DFT calculations and the experimentally observed bond length value $d_0 = 1.421$ Å in graphene. With the in-layer strain correction, we find



FIG. 3. Strain energy ΔE in carbon nanostructures with respect to the graphene reference system. (a) Total strain energy ΔE_{DFT} based on DFT for selected fullerene isomers, with the most stable isomers indicated by the larger symbols. (b) Total strain energy ΔE in different C_{38} isomers. DFT results ΔE_{DFT} are compared to ΔE_T based on the Tersoff potential, ΔE_{CE} based on continuum elasticity theory including in-layer strain energy ΔE_s, and ΔE_c considering the curvature energy only. (c) Comparison between the strain energy ΔE_{CE} based on continuum elasticity theory and ΔE_{DFT} based on DFT in all fullerene isomers considered in (a). (d) Comparison between strain energy per atom $\Delta E_{CE}/n$ based on continuum elasticity theory and $\Delta E_{DFT}/n$ based on DFT in fullerenes, nanotubes and schwarzite structures. Dashed lines in (c) and (d) indicate agreement between DFT and our continuum elasticity results.

the total strain energy ΔE_{CE} based on continuum elasticity theory to be in excellent agreement with the DFT calculations for C_{38}.

Encouraged by this level of agreement for C_{38}, we present in Fig. 3(c) the correlation between the strain energy ΔE_{CE} based on continuum elasticity theory and ΔE_{DFT} based on DFT for all fullerenes discussed in Fig. 3(a). The narrow spread of the data points around the $\Delta E_{CE} = \Delta E_{DFT}$ line confirms that the continuum elasticity approach is competing in accuracy with computationally much more involved *ab initio* calculations.

To demonstrate the generality of our approach, we extend it from near-spherical fullerenes to nanotubes with cylindrical symmetry and schwarzites with local negative Gaussian curvature. Since nanotubes and schwarzites are infinitely large, we compare stabilities on a per-atom basis in these structures. Besides results for the fullerenes discussed in Figs. 3(a) and 3(c), Fig. 3(d) displays results for nanotubes with radii ranging between $2.5-9.0$ Å and for schwarzite structures with 152, 192 and 200 carbon atoms per unit cell. These results again indicate an excellent agreement between strain energies obtained using continuum elasticity theory and using DFT. This agreement is particularly impressive, since the spread of atomic binding energies extends over more than 1 eV. We observe the largest deviation from the $\Delta E_{CE} = \Delta E_{DFT}$ line for schwarzites. Since these structures contain a large portion of heptagonal rings, we understand that using the smaller value of A from the honeycomb lattice of graphene in Eq. (5) leads to an underestimate of the curvature energy.

From its construction, the continuum elasticity description of local and global stability is best suited for very large structures with small local curvatures. Therefore, the high level of agreement between its predictions and *ab initio* results in structures with large local curvatures is rather impressive. Among the different allotropes, we find the continuum elasticity description to be most accurate for carbon nanotubes, where all bond lengths are at their equilibrium value and ΔE_s vanishes. In fullerenes and schwarzites, the presence of non-hexagonal rings, including pentagons and heptagons, prevents a global optimization of bond lengths and bond angles. We observe large values of ΔE_s in structures with a large portion of such topological defects.

Our stability results are consistent with the pentagon adjacency rule that provides an energy penalty of $0.7-0.9$ eV for each pair of adjacent pentagons[29–31], which causes an increase of the local curvature. While this rule is surely useful, it can not compare the stability of isomers with isolated pentagons or structures of different size.

What we consider the most significant benefit of our approach is to identify the least stable sites in a structure. Local curvature and in-plane strain play the key role in both local stability and local electronic structure[9], which also controls the chemical reactivity[8]. Thus, our approach can identify the most reactive and the least stable sites, which control the stability of the entire system.

In conclusion, we have introduced a fast method to determine the local curvature in 2D systems with arbitrary shape. The curvature information, combined with elastic constants obtained for a planar system, provides an accurate estimate of the local stability in the framework of continuum elasticity theory. Relative stabilities of graphitic structures including fullerenes, nanotubes and schwarzites, calculated using this approach, agree closely with *ab initio* density functional calculations. The continuum elasticity approach can be applied to all 2D structures and is particularly attractive in complex systems, where the quality of parameterized force fields has not been established.

We acknowledge valuable discussions with Zacharias Fthenakis. This work was funded by the National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement #EEC-0832785, titled "NSEC: Center for High-rate Nanomanufacturing". Computational resources for this project were provided by the Michigan State University High-Performance Computer Center.

* tomanek@pa.msu.edu

[1] K. S. Novoselov, D. Jiang, F. Schedin, T. J. Booth, V. V. Khotkevich, S. V. Morozov, and A. K. Geim, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **102**, 10451 (2005).

[2] Y. Feldman, E. Wasserman, D. J. Srolovitz, and R. Tenne, Science **267**, 222 (1995).

[3] H. W. Kroto, J. R. Heath, S. C. O'Brien, R. F. Curl, and R. E. Smalley, Nature **318**, 162 (1985).

[4] S. Iijima, Nature **354**, 56 (1991).

[5] C. Chuang, Y.-C. Fan, and B.-Y. Jin, J. Chem. Inform. Modeling **49**, 361 (2009).

[6] A. L. Mackay and H. Terrones, Nature **352**, 762 (1991).

[7] D. Tománek, *Guide Through the Nanocarbon Jungle* (Morgan & Claypool, 2014).

[8] X. Lu and Z. Chen, Chem. Rev. **105**, 3643 (2005).

[9] V. M. Pereira and A. H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 046801 (2009).

[10] S. Berber and D. Tománek, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 075427 (2009).

[11] L. Jiao, L. Zhang, X. Wang, G. Diankov, and H. Dai, Nature **458**, 877 (2009).

[12] D. V. Kosynkin, A. L. Higginbotham, A. Sinitskii, J. R. Lomeda, A. Dimiev, B. K. Price, and J. M. Tour, Nature **458**, 872 (2009).

[13] J. Tersoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2879 (1988).

[14] E. Albertazzi, C. Domene, P. W. Fowler, T. Heine, G. Seifert, C. Van Alsenoy, and F. Zerbetto, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **1**, 2913 (1999).

[15] T. G. Schmalz, W. A. Seitz, D. J. Klein, and G. E. Hite, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **110**, 1113 (1988).

[16] H. W. Kroto, Nature **329**, 529 (1987).

[17] D. Tomanek, W. Zhong, and E. Krastev, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 15461 (1993).

[18] A. Enyashin, S. Gemming, and G. Seifert, Europ. Phys. J. Spec. Topics **149**, 103 (2007).

[19] A. Maceri, *Theory of Elasticity* (Springer, Berlin, 2010).

[20] M. Hazewinkel, ed., *Encyclopaedia of Mathematics* (Springer, Berlin, 1995).

[21] P. W. Fowler and D. E. Manolopoulos, *An atlas of fullerenes*, International series of monographs on chemistry (Clarendon Press, 1995).

[22] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.000.000000 for fullerene geometries.

[23] E. Artacho, E. Anglada, O. Dieguez, J. D. Gale, A. Garcia, J. Junquera, R. M. Martin, P. Ordejon, J. M. Pruneda, D. Sanchez-Portal, and J. M. Soler, J. Phys. Cond. Mat. **20**, 064208 (2008).

[24] D. M. Ceperley and B. J. Alder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 566 (1980).

[25] J. P. Perdew and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B **23**, 5048 (1981).

[26] N. Troullier and J. L. Martins, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 1993 (1991).

[27] H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Phys. Rev. B **13**, 5188 (1976).

[28] M. R. Hestenes and E. Stiefel, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. **49**, 409 (1952).

[29] B. L. Zhang, C. Z. Wang, K. M. Ho, C. H. Xu, and C. T. Chan, J. Chem. Phys. **97**, 5007 (1992).

[30] A. Warshel and M. Karplus, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **94**, 5612 (1972).

[31] F. Negri, G. Orlandi, and F. Zerbetto, Chem. Phys. Lett. **144**, 31 (1988).